

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

MARCUS D. WINSTON,

Petitioner,

vs.

ROB JEFFREYS,

Respondent.

8:25CV103**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

This matter is before the Court on Petitioner Marcus D. Winston's "Request for Stay and Abeyance." [Filing No. 1](#). Petitioner moves the Court "for an Order staying and abeying his Writ of Habeas Corpus § 2254 proceedings," while at the same time recognizing "that no § 2254 has been filed yet." *Id.* Petitioner indicates he is filing his request to avoid any potential issues with the one-year statute of limitations while Petitioner "is currently zealously pursuing all his state remedies."¹ *Id.*

The Court hereby notifies Petitioner that it intends to characterize his "Request for Stay and Abeyance" as a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 2254](#). In the alternative, Petitioner may move to voluntarily withdraw his habeas corpus petition within 30 days. See [Castro v. United States](#), 540 U.S. 375, 382–83 (2003) (requiring notice, warning, and opportunity to amend or withdraw before recharacterization of pro se litigant's motion as initial § 2255 motion; when these requirements unsatisfied, recharacterized motion not considered § 2255 motion rendering later motion successive); see also [Martin v. Overton](#), 391 F.3d 710, 713 (6th Cir. 2004) (applying *Castro* to § 2254 petition). Should Petitioner decide to allow this

¹ See [Pace v. DiGuglielmo](#), 544 U.S. 408, 416 (2005) (recognizing that, under certain circumstances, "[a] prisoner seeking state postconviction relief might . . . fil[e] a 'protective' petition in federal court and ask[] the federal court to stay and abey the federal habeas proceedings until state remedies are exhausted").

action to proceed as one brought pursuant to § 2254, he should be aware of his obligation to raise all his habeas corpus claims in this action. This is because there is a statutory prohibition against successive petitions by state prisoners codified in [28 U.S.C. § 2244](#).

In addition, if Petitioner decides to allow this action to proceed as a § 2254 habeas action, then he must amend his pleading to cure the following deficiencies.

First, Petitioner has not signed the petition under penalty of perjury. See, e.g. [28 U.S.C. § 2242](#) and Rule 2(c)(5) of the *Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts*.

Second, a habeas corpus petition must “substantially follow either the form appended to [the *Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts*], or a form prescribed by a local district-court rule.” See Rule 2 of the *Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts*. Here, Petitioner submitted a one-page handwritten document that does not identify the judgment challenged or his grounds for habeas relief. To address this deficiency, a copy of the Form AO 241, Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a Person in State Custody, will be provided to Petitioner along with this order.

Finally, if Petitioner decides to allow this action to proceed, then he also must either submit the \$5.00 fee to the Clerk’s office or submit a request to proceed in forma pauperis. If Petitioner chooses to do the latter, the enclosed pauper’s forms should be completed and returned to this Court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner shall no later than **March 28, 2025**, voluntarily withdraw his pleading entitled “Request for Stay and Abeyance” if he does not want this action to proceed as one brought pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 2254](#).

2. If Petitioner decides to allow this action to proceed as one brought pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 2254](#), he shall no later than **March 28, 2025**, file an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus that is originally signed under penalty of perjury. Petitioner must set forth all his claims in an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus, even those identified in his original petition.

3. Petitioner shall no later than **March 28, 2025**, submit the \$5.00 filing fee to the Clerk’s office or submit a request to proceed in forma pauperis if he intends to pursue this matter.

4. If Petitioner fails to respond to this order or file an amended petition, the Court will construe Petitioner’s pleading as a petition filed pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 2254](#) and this matter will be dismissed without prejudice and without further notice to Petitioner for the deficiencies described herein.

5. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send to Petitioner the Form AO240 (“Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit”) and the Form AO241 (“Petition Under [28 U.S.C. § 2254](#) for a Writ of Habeas Corpus”).

6. To avoid confusion, any document Petitioner sends to the Clerk of the Court for filing in this case must clearly display the case number (8:25CV103).

7. The Clerk of the Court is directed to set the following pro se case management deadline: **March 28, 2025**: check for (1) motion to withdraw or amended habeas petition and (2) MIFP or payment.

Dated this 26th day of February, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J F Bataillon", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Joseph F. Bataillon
Senior United States District Judge